

## Planning Survey March 2016

### When we find breaches of planning permission, enforcement is carried out reasonably and effectively

	Never	Not usually	Only if we are persistent	To an acceptable level	Always	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	8.33% 1	8.33% 1	25.00% 3	58.33% 7	0.00% 0	12	3.33

Comments:

Question demanded an answer but have not had a problem with breaches of planning permission.

Not had any breaches

Abandoned house repossession is not part of Wiltshire's policy and they would not do repossess a house that has been empty for in excess of five years. Every other time enforcement have been first class and we are very grateful

We don't experience many breaches

We have had a case where a foot path was not installed. The council simply accepted the developer omission and no action was taken

We win some and lose some

### Listed building consent is passed fairly and without arbitrary obstruction

	Never	Usually	To an acceptable level	Always	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	8.33% 1	66.67% 8	8.33% 1	16.67% 2	12	2.33

Comments:

No experience either way

Conservation Officer has some strange ideas

### The reasons for consent or no consent given by the officer are:

	Never	Sometimes	Always	Total	Weighted Average
Clear	9.09% 1	54.55% 6	36.36% 4	11	2.27
Consistent	33.33% 4	41.67% 5	25.00% 3	12	1.92
Reasonable	18.18% 2	54.55% 6	27.27% 3	11	2.09

Comments:

No real problems here

In our experience the reasons always defensible even if the officer does not agree with the parish council

### If you have any experiences you would like to share, then please detail them here.

- There is no consistency between council in house planning applications and private planning applications. Applications by Rushall primary School sail through irrespective of local views whilst private applications are not given the same level of service or consideration.
- Wiltshire Yeoman permission granted to change use and new development added, permissions given, but none of the PC conditions met!